

The second fragment, Leiden, Universiteitsbibliotheek, BPL 2391: p¹³², hereafter the Leiden fragment, was also discovered after Kurze's edition was published. This fragment, too, is a single folium measuring 215 x 125–130 mm with a text block of 185 x 115 mm on which 22 lines are still legible. Like the Cologne fragment, it was probably used as a cover for other documents after the leaf was taken out of its codicological context, but this folium is in worse shape. The top and outer sides have been trimmed. This led to a loss of a calculable thirty-two words at the top of the verso side of the folium. Depending on the number of abbreviations, this equals either two- or three-and-half lines that must have been lost at the top of the fragment. Trimming of the outer margin of the leaf has resulted in a loss of two to four words per line. The text that survives contains parts of the entries for 825 and 826. The frail leaf starts on the recto with the words *quaedam annorum circiter*¹³³, after which the slanted trimming results in the loss of the rest of the line. The recto ends with *audivit et absolvit. Inter quas*¹³⁴. The verso side picks up the text again with *regis Danorum pacis ac foederis causa*¹³⁵ and breaks off with *deceperat, receptus Rotam civitatem destruxit*¹³⁶. Despite the mutilation, the folium transmits enough text to locate it firmly in the recension system of Kurze as it contains several readings that it shares uniquely with D1, to which I will return shortly¹³⁷.

Bischoff has dated the script to the middle of the ninth century¹³⁸, but McKitterick has suggested the second quarter of the ninth century¹³⁹. Bischoff suggested it was written in west Germany, a suggestion initially followed by McKitterick¹⁴⁰, who later narrowed this down to the Rhineland and later suggested the court¹⁴¹. David Ganz has

132) Digital facsimile: <https://digitalcollections.universiteitleiden.nl/view/item/3129552#page/1/mode/1up>.

133) ArF ad a. 825 (as in n. 9) p. 168 l. 15.

134) ArF ad a. 826 (as in n. 9) p. 169 l. 18.

135) Ibid. p. 169 l. 24.

136) Ibid. p. 170 l. 7 from the bottom.

137) MCKITTERICK, Charlemagne (as in n. 13) p. 36.

138) BISCHOFF, Katalog 2 (as in n. 23) p. 46 (no. 2166): "IX. Jh., ca. Mitte".

139) ROSAMOND MCKITTERICK, Perceptions of the Past in the Early Middle Ages (The Conway lectures in medieval studies, 2004) p. 75: "dated to the second quarter of the ninth century"; EADEM, Charlemagne (as in n. 13) p. 36: "s. IX 2/4".

140) BISCHOFF, Katalog 2 (as in n. 23) p. 46 (no. 2166): "Westdeutschland (?)"; MCKITTERICK, Perceptions of the Past (as in n. 139) p. 75, follows Bischoff.

141) MCKITTERICK, Charlemagne (as in n. 13) p. 36.