

Capitula Angilramni and the *Nonnullae sanctiones* or the Excerptiones from the acta of the council of Chalcedon¹⁹.

Even aspects of Zechiel-Eckes's great discovery suggest the priority of the False Capitularies and the other excerpt repositories. Isidorus Mercator, the decretals forger, appears to know entire categories of source material only in the form and the order that Benedictus Levita provides them. The False Capitularies and their companion repositories, while themselves operating with relative freedom, seem to constrain the False Decretals, which discuss many matters only after the pattern established by the excerpt repositories. Finally, there are deeper and unchallenged hierarchical entanglements within the Pseudo-Isidorian corpus that throw the hypothesis of parallel development into confusion: The Capitula Angilramni clearly emerged alongside Book 3 of the False Capitularies, and the False Decretals obviously know the Capitula Angilramni, such that they land after the excerpt repositories once again.

The *Nonnullae sanctiones* as Case Study

Zechiel-Eckes did not deny that any interrelationships existed within Pseudo-Isidore's products. A minor Pseudo-Isidorian collection entitled the *Nonnullae sanctiones sparsim collectae actionis primae sancti et magni Calcedonensis concilii* was, in his view, a clear antecedent of the

19) The Capitula Angilramni are edited with extensive commentary by Karl-Georg SCHON, *Die Capitula Angilramni: Eine prozessrechtliche Fälschung Pseudoisidors* (MGH Studien und Texte 39, 2006). For the *Nonnullae sanctiones* see just below, p. 459 with n. 22. Both have come down to us primarily as appendices to manuscripts of the False Decretals. As Zechiel-Eckes recognized, other capitulary appendices are also on hand in early False Decretals manuscripts that remain to be investigated, but that in all probability also represent in some way the excerpting activity of our forgers: ZECHIEL-ECKES, *Verecundus oder Pseudoisidor* (as n. 9) p. 429–430 n. 56. Not all of Pseudo-Isidore's excerpt repositories appear to be prior to the False Decretals. The *Collectio Danieliana*, ed. Karl-Georg SCHON (MGH Studien und Texte 38, 2006) clearly postdates the decretal forgeries, from which it includes excerpts. It has been excluded from consideration here. Also mostly excluded are the so-called *Untersammlungen* associated with Hincmar of Laon (ed. Rudolf SCHIEFFER, *Die Streitschriften Hinkmars von Reims und Hinkmars von Laon, 869–871* [MGH Conc. 4, Suppl. 2, 2003] p. 7–55), even though at points these appear to contain early Pseudo-Isidorian pieces. These items are interrelated, for the exceedingly important 5. *Untersammlung* receives the *Collectio Danieliana*: cf. SCHON, *Collectio Danieliana* p. 18–21.