

second and third books of the False Capitularies present a great deal of the same invented, interpolated and sometimes authentic material as the *Capitula Angilramni*, often in similar sequences. No general statement about priority can be made, because it was during the course of Benedictus Levita's work on Book 2 and Book 3 that the *Capitula Angilramni* were researched and assembled.

It is possible to be much more precise than this. In Book 2, Benedictus Levita is very obviously a formal source for the *Capitula Angilramni*. At issue are a sequence of chapters at BL 2.300–342, which are lifted in order from another Pseudo-Isidorian creation, namely the interpolated *Hispana*. The *Capitula Angilramni*, at CA 42–11bis, repeat a small subset of this material, in the same order as the False Capitularies⁶³:

basis Emil Seckel could survey in 80 pages; through the increasingly complex and Pseudo-Isidorian Book 3, whose sources required 544 pages to explain: Compare SECKEL, *Studie VI* and *Studie VIII*, Teile I–V (as n. 7). There are also more specific indications. In Book 3, for example, Benedictus Levita reverses, via a forgery, a necessarily earlier forgery that he had supplied in Book 1. Only a long temporal interlude between the first and third books, together with the discovery of new sources and also evolving views, can explain this change in direction: For a critical edition of the capitula at issue and an extended discussion, Gerhard SCHMITZ, *Die allmähliche Verfertigung der Gedanken beim Fälschen. Unausgegorenes und Widersprüchliches bei Benedictus Levita*, in: *Fortschritt durch Fälschungen? Ursprung, Gestalt und Wirkungen der pseudoisidorischen Fälschungen*, ed. by Wilfried HARTMANN / Gerhard SCHMITZ (MGH Studien und Texte 31, 2002) p. 29–60. Finally, reading the False Capitularies from start to finish, one notices other items in the Pseudo-Isidorian library emerge at successive points and gain prominence. The interpolated *Hispana* seems to be missing early in Book 1, for example, since BL 1.119 repairs a problematic passage in an authentic decretal from the *Hispana Gallica* independently of the solution provided by the *Hispana* interpolators. Then, 200 capitula later, at BL 1.335, the interpolated *Hispana* is suddenly available to cite. Cf. SCHMITZ, ed. Book 1 (as n. 1) p. 35 with n. 398 and p. 111 with n. 1271.

63) The *Capitula Angilramni* as ed. SCHON (as n. 19) p. 142, 154, 156–157 and 158–160, respectively. Cf. also the discussion in SECKEL, *Studie VII* *Schlusssteil III* (as n. 7) p. 451–470. The entire *Hispana* sequence of the False Capitularies tabulated here is ed. SCHMITZ, Book 2 (as n. 1) p. 61 l. 16–67, with full references to the *Hispana* councils. Here only the order of the interpolated *Hispana* is important, as transcribed by GRABOWSKY (as n. 3).