

Further examples of this phenomenon, whereby the False Capitularies or another excerpt repository appears to constrain or guide the decretals forger, abound. A second case surrounds Pseudo-Isidore's insistence that all councils require papal sanction. Here it is not only the False Capitularies, but three excerpt repositories acting in concert, that explain the variant approaches of the pseudopapacy. We have already seen that the *Nonnullae sanctiones*, at NS 2, provide a passage on this matter that has been lifted from Paris lat. 11611 at fol. 18v. The False Capitularies, at BL 2.381b, draw a similar statement from the *Historia tripartita* of Cassiodorus/Epiphanius; here we also have Pseudo-Isidore's manuscript source, namely St. Petersburg, Russian National Library Ms. F.v.I.11, with a nota at fol. 62v. Finally the *Capitula Angilramni*, at CA 2a, produce a composite formulation from various sources⁵². The False Decretals insist on the subjection of conciliar authority to the pope on thirteen occasions by my count, always after one of these three patterns. Several decretals combine the brief statement from the *Nonnullae sanctiones* with the lengthier rhetoric from the *Capitula Angilramni*, while elsewhere the same decretal returns to the principle more than once, with alternating textual parallels⁵³.

p. 214–215): BL 2.381p/CA 10, then BL 3.116; Ps.-Marcellus, J³ †327 (ed. HINSCHIUS, *Decretales* p. 227): BL 3.116/CA 3i; Ps.-Eusebius, J³ †340 (ed. HINSCHIUS, *Decretales* p. 237–238): BL 3.116/CA 3i, then Add. 3.8 and finally a rare original formulation; Ps.-Julius I, J³ †443 (ed. HINSCHIUS, *Decretales* p. 468, 473): BL 3.116/CA 3i and Add. 3.8; Ps.-Athanasius to Felix II (ed. HINSCHIUS, *Decretales* p. 479): BL 3.116/CA 3i; Ps.-Felix II, J³ †505 (ed. HINSCHIUS, *Decretales* p. 485–486): BL 3.153, 3.116/CA 3i; Ps.-Damasus I, J³ †573 (ed. HINSCHIUS, *Decretales* p. 503): BL 2.381p/CA 10; Fifth Symmachan Synod (ed. HINSCHIUS, *Decretales* p. 676): BL 3.116/CA 3i; Ps.-John I, J³ †1690 (ed. HINSCHIUS, *Decretales* p. 694): BL 3.116/CA 3i. By far Pseudo-Isidore's favourite formula is BL 3.116/CA 3i; parallels to Add. 3.8 never occur on their own, but only as a supplement to BL 3.116/CA 3i.

52) For NS 2 (ed. PITRA, *Spicilegium* [as n. 21] p. 167): ZECHIEL-ECKES, *Verecundus oder Pseudoisidor* (as n. 9) p. 431 (no. 2 in the table); for BL 2.381b (ed. PERTZ, *MGH LL 2, 2* [as n. 1] p. 94): ZECHIEL-ECKES, *Pseudoisidors Werkstatt* (as n. 9) p. 43 (first item). CA 2a is ed. SCHON, *Capitula Angilramni* (as n. 19) p. 96–97, with a full account of the sources.

53) Isidorus Mercator, *Praefatio* (ed. HINSCHIUS, *Decretales* [as n. 1] p. 19): CA 2. Ps.-Marcellus, J³ †328 (ed. HINSCHIUS, *Decretales* p. 224, 228): NS 2, then CA 2. Ps.-Julius, J³ †442 (ed. HINSCHIUS, *Decretales* p. 459): BL 2.381b, then CA 2. Ps.-Julius, J³ †443 (ed. ZECHIEL-ECKES, *Pseudoisidors Werkstatt* [as n. 9] p. 73 l. 48–49 and p. 75 l. 96–97): BL 2.381b, then NS 2. Egyptian bishops to Felix II (ed. HINSCHIUS, *Decretales* p. 479): BL 2.381b. Ps.-Felix II, J³ †505 (ed. HINSCHIUS, *Decretales* p. 488): NS 2. Ps.-Damasus, J³ †573 (ed. HINSCHIUS, *Decretales* p. 502–