

As early as 1976, Karl-Georg Schon weighed the possibility that the *Nonnullae sanctiones* might be a Pseudo-Isidorian product. They are transmitted almost exclusively as an appendix to manuscripts of the False Decretals, and the interests of the compiler and those of Pseudo-Isidore overlap considerably. In 2000, Zechiel-Eckes banished all doubt by showing that Pseudo-Isidore compiled the *Nonnullae sanctiones* directly from Paris, Bibliothèque nationale Ms. lat. 11611, the most important extant witness to Rusticus/Chalcedon. The forger or his secretaries have left a series of characteristic marginal notae in Paris lat. 11611 that correspond in very precise ways to the contents of the *Nonnullae sanctiones*²².

From the outset, Zechiel-Eckes characterized the *Nonnullae sanctiones* as an intermediary, formal source of the False Decretals²³. His student Clara Harder has followed him in this. Because Harder otherwise recognizes no hierarchical relationships in the Pseudo-Isidorian corpus, the *Nonnullae sanctiones* are for her a rare, demonstrably early product of our forgers: „Es ist anzunehmen, dass die Bearbeitung der Vorlagenhandschrift Codex Paris, Bibliothèque nationale, lat. 11611, zu den frühesten Arbeitsschritten des Fälschungsunternehmens zählte“²⁴. Despite these words, nobody has explored the relationship between the *Nonnullae sanctiones* and the rest of the Pseudo-Isidorian corpus. Zechiel-Eckes's analysis of Paris lat. 11611 even appears calculated to

trantur (1858) p. 166–185. Pitra's printing is deceptive and also incomplete. Vastly preferable is the transcription from Vatican, Biblioteca Apostolica Vat. lat. 630 (the early A/B copy of the False Decretals) by Karl-Georg SCHON, <http://www.pseudoisidor.mgh.de/html/311.htm>. The chapter numbers are Pitra's convention; the *Nonnullae sanctiones* are unnumbered and introduce subsequent excerpts with rubrics after the manner of *ET PAULO POST* or *ITEM POST MULTA ACTIONE XIII* or the like. The work is generally known in the secondary literature, following Zechiel-Eckes's lead, as the *Excerptiones de gestis Chalcedonensis concilii*, which appears to be Pitra's own title with no basis in the manuscript tradition. The *Nonnullae sanctiones* rubric is doubtless „umständlich“ (so HARDER, *Pseudoisidor und das Papsttum* p. 75 n. 232) but it should not be suppressed, as it is present throughout the manuscript tradition and clearly represents Pseudo-Isidore's own characterization of this piece.

22) Karl-Georg SCHON, *Exzerpte aus den Akten von Chalkedon bei Pseudoisidor und in der 74-Titel-Sammlung*, in: DA 32 (1976) p. 546–557, here esp. 551–557; and ZECHIEL-ECKES, *Verecundus oder Pseudoisidor* (as n. 9). The source codex, Paris lat. 11611, is defective at the end and breaks off at the bottom of fol. 197v at the first line of the final Actio 16: in SCHWARTZ, ACO 2.3.3 (as n. 20) p. 98 l. 29.

23) ZECHIEL-ECKES, *Verecundus oder Pseudoisidor* (as n. 9) p. 427 n. 50.

24) HARDER, *Pseudoisidor und das Papsttum* (as n. 17) p. 178 with n. 23; cf. also IDEM, *Papst als Mittel* (as n. 18) p. 182 with n. 32.