

(7/8); Wo (8/8); B (7/7); and D (7/7)⁹⁸. Given the frequent absence of second-recension expansions in Sigma (Σ) group manuscripts, it is likely that the entire group ultimately descends from a mixed-recension manuscript. As with other mixed-recension manuscripts, Sigma (Σ)-group manuscripts were often corrected against other manuscripts and gradually accumulated expansions in later copies⁹⁹. In his edition, Emil Friedberg utilized Sigma (Σ) group manuscripts Md (8/8), Wo (8/8), and Bh (not yet searched)¹⁰⁰.

A second revision of the *Decretum*, known as the Rambaud-Weigand French group, appears to have been undertaken in France around 1180¹⁰¹. So far, five manuscripts have been assigned to the group, which is characterized by numerous additions of Roman law, patristic texts, and theological *sententiae*. I have examined all five manuscripts: Bl (5/8); Na (5/8); Po (8/8); Ro (6/8); Sl (6/8). Like the Sigma (Σ) group, the frequent absence of second-recension expansions in the Rambaud-Weigand French group suggests that it ultimately descends from a mixed-recension manuscript.

Sometime before 1190, but potentially much earlier, a third revision of the *Decretum* known as the Pi (Π) group may have been undertaken in Bologna¹⁰². Unlike the other two revisions, the Pi (Π) group has not yet been established as a true recension. So far, it is defined only by the presence of all *paleae* mentioned by Huguccio in his *summa*¹⁰³.

98) For the complete list, see WEI, Later Development (as n. 95) p. 156–57.

99) In her working edition of D.16, Regula Gujer noticed that the Sigma (Σ) group shares some affinity with two earlier manuscripts, Bi (4/8) and Sb (2/8). Further research is necessary to determine if the affinity Gujer detected is limited to Bi and Sb or is common to other mixed-recension manuscripts. I suspect that what Gujer has detected are simply examples of first-recension preservations; GUJER, Concordia (as n. 8) p. 357–69.

100) WEI, Later Development (as n. 95) p. 153; FRIEDBERG, Decretum (as n. 3) p. xcvi–xcix.

101) On the Rambaud-Weigand French group, see WEI, Later Development (as n. 95) p. 153, 157; Rudolf WEIGAND, Zusätzliche Paleae in fünf Dekrethandschriften, in: ZRG Kan. 78 (1992) p. 65–120; Jacqueline RAMBAUD-BUHOT, Manuscrits canoniques du fonds de Jumièges: Décret de Gratien, in: Jumièges: Congrès scientifique du XIIIe centenaire 2, Rouen, 10–12 juin 1954, ed. Georges LANFRY (1955) p. 669–79.

102) WEI, Later Development (as n. 95) p. 154, 158.

103) The specific *paleae* are listed in Rudolf WEIGAND, Paleae und andere Zusätze in Dekrethandschriften mit dem Glossenapparat ‘Ordinaturus Magister’, in: Archiv für katholisches Kirchenrecht 159 (1990) p. 448–63; Franz GILLMAN, Paucapalea und Paleae bei Huguccio, in: Archiv für katholisches Kirchenrecht 88 (1908) p. 466–79 (reprint in: Gesammelte Schriften zur klassischen Kanonistik von Franz