

Mass prefaces observed in the Roman liturgy, which alone are to be received *in sacro catalogo*. The subject matter could not be further from Pseudo-Isidore's ordinary concerns – still less Ps.-Leo on the chor-episcopate – and yet the address and narratio of Ps.-Pelagius provide such plain parallels to the *De privilegio chorepiscoporum*, that we must assume Ps.-Leo served as the model:

<p>Leo <i>Romanae ecclesiae et apostolicae sedis episcopus universis Germaniarum atque Galliarum regionum episcopis salutem.</i></p> <p><i>Cum in dei nomine in Romana ecclesia synodum episcoporum sive ceterorum consacerdotum</i> Christique <i>fidelium</i> <i>coadunatum habuissimus et de ordinationibus inlicitis, super quibus crebrior ad nos illarum partium commeantium sermo perveniret, atque de aliis necessariis et ecclesiasticis negotiis tractantes sacrosque canones relegentes sollerter egissemus ...</i></p>	<p>Pelagius <i>Romanae ecclesiae et apostolicae sedis episcopus universis Germaniarum atque Galliarum regionum episcopis.</i></p> <p><i>Cum in Dei nomine in Romana ecclesia synodum episcoporum sive caeterorum consacerdotum Dei fidelium congregatam habuissimus et de ecclesiasticis statutis, ut sunt ab apostolis et a sanctis patribus tradita, diligentius tractarem, supervenere literae vestrae ... rogantes, ut ordinem praefationum ... vobis remandarem ...</i><sup>95</sup></p>
--	---

In Vatican, Pal. lat. 585, fol. 185v, one of the two earliest Decretum codices bearing signs of authorised redaction, we find three lines have been erased at the end of Ps.-Pelagius. These contain an anathema (*Et si quis his supradictis aliquid dempserit vel addiderit, anathema sit*), and they are absent from the rest of the Burchard tradition<sup>96</sup>.

Where Ps.-Pelagius occurs in later canonical collections, there is generally good reason to suspect that the source is Burchard<sup>97</sup>. A cru-

---

95) Ps.-Leo (as ed. Appendix) l. 4–10; the text of Ps.-Pelagius is identical, save for orthographical trivialities, in MIGNE, PL 140 col. 687f.; and Vatican, Bibl. Apost., Pal. lat. 585, fol. 185r.

96) The erasure is legible in the high-resolution images available at [https://digi.vatlib.it/view/MSS\\_Pal.lat.585](https://digi.vatlib.it/view/MSS_Pal.lat.585); it is also resolved by HOFFMANN / POKORNY, Dekret (as n. 93) p. 97.

97) This goes also, in all likelihood, for the collection of Vatican, Bibl. Apost., lat. 3829, fol. 178r (for which see KÉRY, Canonical Collections [as n. 30] p. 288) – contrary to the suspicions of Paul FOURNIER, *Études critiques sur le Décret de*