

cerpted codices were available to Pseudo-Isidore from the beginning of his project, for they informed everything he did.

The same cannot be said of the Vatican Ennodius, which is much younger than the other excerpted codices discovered by Zechiel-Eckes, and which must have come to Pseudo-Isidore's notice only after work on the forgeries was well underway. Ennodius makes almost no appearances beyond the False Decretals. He has no presence in the interpolated Hispana of Vat. lat. 1341 or the Capitula Angilramni, for example. In the main body of the False Capitularies we find that he has contributed nothing beyond a three-word phrase at BL 3.108⁷¹. There are exceptions, but these serve only to prove the rule. An apparently late set of manipulations to the interpolated Hispana, attested by the A1 manuscript Vatican, Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana, Ottob. lat. 93, involves two passages from Ennodius's Libellus, deployed to enhance the decrees of the Fifth Council of Carthage⁷². And while Ennodius is mostly absent from the main body of the False Capitularies, he does appear in an appendix to the collection, the so-called Additio 3, at 3.8 and 3.15⁷³.

Everything suggests that Ennodius's near-total confinement to the False Decretals is a symptom of his late arrival – a late arrival that coincided with the production of the decretal forgeries, but that happened after other items in the forgery complex, especially the False Capitularies, had already taken shape. The forger could therefore introduce Ennodius to these prior collections only in appendices or in isolated copies. Even in the False Decretals, where Ennodius has a leading part to play, his work is mired in textual anomalies and other strange errors. These include the erroneously incorporated glosses to the Libellus

71) Ed. PERTZ (as n. 20) p. 109; SECKEL, Studien zu Benedictus Levita VIII/1, in: NA 39 (1914) p. 327–431, at 350. The False Capitularies have ... *et nos submovemus* ... from Ennodius, Libellus (ed. VOGEL, Enodii Opera [as n. 7] p. 54 l. 24). See also SECKEL, Studien VIII/1 p. 401 n. 4, on a possible (faint) relationship between Ennodius (Libellus, ed. VOGEL [as n. 7] p. 53 l. 32–33) and BL 3.187a (ed. PERTZ [as n. 20] p. 114).

72) FUHRMANN, Einfluß und Verbreitung (as n. 20) p. 149–50. The interpolations are rooted in the Libellus, as ed. VOGEL (as n. 7) p. 53 l. 31–33 and 54 l. 23–24, both instances in which Ennodius cites the 419 Council of Carthage. Yet what the Hispana bills as the Fifth Council of Carthage is in fact an agglomeration of canons from two Carthaginian councils held under Aurelius in 401 (on 16 June and 13 September: thus Friedrich MAASSEN, Geschichte der Quellen und der Literatur des canonischen Rechts im Abendlande [1870] p. 773.)

73) Ed. PERTZ (as n. 20) p. 139–40; nos. 7 and 11 in the table above.